ST. THOMAS	Corporation of the City of St. Thomas	Report No. ES98-14 File No. 07-151
Directed to:	Alderman Mark Cosens, Chair, and Members of Environmental Services Committee of Council	Meeting Date: Sept. 8, 2014
Department:	Environmental Services	Date Authored: Sept. 2, 2014 Attachment
Prepared by:	John Dewancker, Director	 Report ES78-14 Appendix 'D', Network Costing Spreadsheet Comment received by telephone enquiry (e-mail record)
Subject:	Elgin-St. Thomas Cycling Master Plan (Final)	

Recommendation:

- That report ES 98-14 be received for information and further
- That the Elgin St. Thomas Cycling Master Plan (Final) be received and be used as a guidance
 document in the City's Capital budgeting of road works and any roadway operational improvements
 as outlined in the plan.
- That the phase I roadway improvements involving the creation of bicycle facilities at the locations, as outlined in the report, be implemented.

Origin:

At the meeting of July 14, 2014, Council received the St. Thomas Cycling Master Plan (draft) and a presentation by the consultant, the MMM croup was also made at the same time. In conformance with the recommendations of report ES78-14, public notice was provided through advertisement in The Times Journal, The Weekly News and the City Website.

Analysis:

During the public review period, only two comments were received by the City. One of these comments pertains to the current intent to operate Burwell Road between South Edgeware Road and Bennett Place differently and to establish dedicated cycling lanes with signage instead of having a two way left turn lane in the middle of the road (copy of comment attached). This location is one of four locations where the cycling initiative would first be established, as noted in the attached prior report ES78-14.

The second comment received pertained to Ron McNeil Line where the resident is requesting that a bicycle facility be established. Ron Mc Neil is a County of Elgin Road and consequently the request was forwarded to the County of Elgin Engineering Staff.

In addition, a more detailed cost breakdown by jurisdiction and location was provided in appendix D to the final report and this costing spreadsheet is attached for the Members' information. A copy of the Master Plan was previously forwarded to the Members together with report ES78-14.

Phase I Improvements:

The previous report ES78-14 (attached) provides a few road and trail locations where the City can make improvements and incorporate a bicycle facility into the existing roadways at little cost (roadway operational improvements). These locations are as follows:

- <u>Mid/Central route</u>: Park Ave., Forest Ave., McIntyre St/Moore St. with a connection to the Talbot spur multi use trail.
- <u>Talbot Spur Route</u>: Multi use trail along the Talbot spur tourist rail line between Parkside Collegiate School and Kains St. (approved and being implemented).
- North/West route: Balaclava St. from South Edgeware Road to Kains St. with connection to the Talbot spur multi use trail.
- Burwell Rd., S Edgeware Rd to Ron McNeil Road Diet/cycle lanes.

One of these locations is the Talbot spur trail which currently is already being implemented. The other three locations are roadways, where improvements can be achieved through line painting, pavement

markings and signage. It is recommended that these roadway operational improvements, at these locations be designed and be subsequently reported to Council prior to implementation.

Financial Considerations:

The estimated cost of establishing cycling facilities and share-the-road initiatives that will create a more "complete" road network in this City during the next 20 years is in the order of \$3M. It is expected that other sources of funding may become available, once the City has adopted the Master Plan and it can prove that a strategic and prioritized approach has been adopted to improving bicycle facilities within the City's road and trail networks.

Respectfully Su	ıbmitted,					
John Dewancke Director, Enviro	er, P. Eng,	ces				
Reviewed By:	Treasury	Env. Services	Planning	City Clerk	HR	Other





Corporation of the City of St. Thomas

Report No. ES-78-14

> File No. 07-151

Directed to:

Alderman Mark Cosens, Chair, and Members of Environmental Services Committee of Council

Date Meeting Date: July 14, 2014 Date Authored: July 4, 2014

Department:

Environmental Services

Attachment

Prepared by:

John Dewancker, Director

Elgin St. Thomas Cycling Master Plan

Subject:

Elgin-St. Thomas Cycling Master Plan (Draft)

Recommendation:

- That Report ES-78-14 be received for information; and further
- That the draft Elgin-St. Thomas Cycling Master Plan dated June, 2014 be received; and further
- That Public input be received on the draft Master Plan through advertisement on the City's website, Public Notice and other means of communication with the St. Thomas community.

Origin:

At the meeting of January 28, 2013, Council Members previously received a presentation on the Elgin-St. Thomas Active Transportation Initiative which gathered baseline information on current active transportation trends in Elgin-St. Thomas, based on a survey, involving approximately 850 residents and which found that there is considerable support for this development and investment in Active Transportation. This Initiative also provided Elgin County and the City of St. Thomas with a summary of the types of facilities that are currently used to accommodate active transportation including design

One of the recommendations of the Initiative also provided for the completion of a Cycling Master Plan for the Elgin-St. Thomas community. This Master Plan was funded by the Province through Elgin-St. Thomas Public Health and was initiated during October, 2013.

Analysis:

The Cycling Master Plan builds upon the information that was prepared in the Initiatives document and advances the feasibility of implementing a cycling network across the Elgin-St. Thomas community.

The following steps have been undertaken to develop the Cycling Master Plan:

Review of the Initiatives Network concept and development of potential routes and modifications.

Identification of high priority routes and field assessment.

Determination of route/facility types, feasibility assessment and preparation of a draft cycling implementation plan.

Finalization of the Cycling Master Plan.

As noted in the attached document, a technical committee as well as a steering committee, including Members of Council of St. Thomas and other municipalities in Elgin County, was created to guide and oversee the study and process.

Draft master plan cycling routes that create connectivity to key destinations within St. Thomas and across the County of Elgin were also presented to the Public at the recent spring Homebullders Show.

Upon review and subject to the receipt of any comments by Members of Council and the Public, map 14 of the report under Section 2.3 (Cycling network and priority projects), shows the recommended cycling network of St. Thomas routes under a short term (0 - 10 years), medium term (11 - 20 years), and long term (20 + years) phasing scenario.

Generally, cycling facilities must be categorized by type and location, depending on the type of roadway (rural/urban and vehicle speed) and the AADT (Annual Average Daily Traffic volume). The resulting design of each facility therefore must create a safe roadway environment for both the cyclist and other users of the roadway and this requirement then translates into the need to increase operating space

involving the design of a variety of facilities that range between a shared roadway type of facility and a separate facility as shown on page 25 of the report.

One of the strategies that can also be implemented in the absence of having the capital funds to widen, say, an arterial road to provide separate bicycle lanes is to select an alternate route along a less busy roadway and which may create a more pleasant/safe cycling environment for the user. In this regard, the creation of a signed bike route with sharrow pavement marking signs on a low volume urban local street can provide a low cost alternative to the creation of a separate facility along a busy arterial road. Similarly, a "road diet" proposal including the establishment of a separate facility can satisfy the same intent to create a more "complete" street along a higher volume road.

The draft master plan recommends that a few easy to complete cycling routes be implemented initially and which would serve the existing residents across the City. These are as follows and as outlined on

Mid/Central route: Park Ave., Forest Ave., McIntyre St/Moore St. with a connection to the Talbot

Talbot Spur Route: Multi use trail along the Talbot spur tourist rail line between Parkside Collegiate School and Kains St.(approved and being implemented).

North/West route: Balaclava St. from South Edgeware Road to Kains St. with connection to the Burwell Rd, 5 Edgeware Rd to Rom Mc Neil - Road Diet/cycle lames

Financial Considerations:

The Master Plan also provides approximate cost estimates for a variety of different facilities within the City and County as shown on page 73 of the report. Ultimately, a total length of 521 km of cycling facilities would be created. The easy to implement locations which would require a nominal expenditure would, of course, be implemented first.

Respectfully Submitted,

John Dewancker, P. Eng Director, Environmental Services

Reviewed By:

Env. Services

City Clerk

CC. Erica Arnett, Elgin-St. Thomas Public Health Jay Cranstone, MMM Consultants

Dewancker, John

From:

Wingrove, Allan

Sent:

August-26-14 2:00 PM

To: Cc: bgorman@efbc.net

Subject:

Dewancker, John; Clement, Brian RE: Burwell Rd

Hi Barbara:

As discussed in our telephone conversation I will pass your views on to the City Engineer, who will be authoring the report to council regarding the possible addition of bike lanes on this stretch of roadway.

Regards

Al Wingrove Senior Engineering Technician, Roads and Traffic P.O. Box 520 City Hall St. Thomas Ontario N5P 3V7 Telephone (519) 631-1680 Ext. 4167 awingrove@stthomas.ca

From: Barbara Gorman [mailto:barbaragorman@rogers.com]

Sent: August-26-14 12:50 PM

To: Wingrove, Allan Subject: Burwell Rd

Good afternoon,

I had called about the new paint lines on Burwell Rd., north of South Edgeware, right at the intersection. There is no longer a lane for turning left into the townhouse complex at 200 Burwell Rd. and very little room for cars to go around you while waiting to turn left. People drive fast around the corner (from South Edgeware eastbound, turning northbound onto Burwell), and I don't feel it's safe, as a driver is sitting waiting to turn left and a car may come from behind and hit them... I hope the lines can be changed. Thank you.

Barbara Gorman, Tenant Coordinator **EFBC Non-Profit Housing Corp.**

Appendix D - Network Costing Spreadsheet Eigin-St. Thomas Cycling Master Plan Final September 2014

RAFT TABLE D.1 - SUMMARY OF EXISTING AND PROPOSED FACILITY TYPES BY JURISDICTION

ail Bike Lane Paved Shoulder Signed Route Edgethe Sharrow Total lant) **, of Total lant) Multi-Use Trail Bike Lane Paved Shoulder Signed Route Edgethe Sharrow Total lant) Distance lant)				Existing	ting		A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	TOTAL DISTANCE	NCE (EXISTING)			Proposed Routes	i Routes			TOTAL DISTANCE	STANCE
Distance (km) Distance	Jurisdiction	Multi-Use Trail	Bike Lane	Paved Shoulder	Signed Route	Edgeline	Sharrow	Total flows	% of Total	Multi-Use Trail	Bike Lane	Paved Shoulder	Signed Route	Edoeline	Sherrow	THOUSAND TO THE PARTY OF THE PA	% of Total
0.0 10.6 0.0 10.6 10.6 20.5% 0.0 1.6 12.74 76.2 2.8 0.0 <th< th=""><th></th><th>Distance (km)</th><th>Distance fum)</th><th>Distance (km)</th><th>Distance (km)</th><th>Distance (km)</th><th>Distance (km)</th><th>total (km)</th><th>Existing</th><th>Distance (km)</th><th>Detance (bm)</th><th>Detares (bm)</th><th>Dobnora flored</th><th>Detector form</th><th>The state of</th><th>Total (km)</th><th>Proposed</th></th<>		Distance (km)	Distance fum)	Distance (km)	Distance (km)	Distance (km)	Distance (km)	total (km)	Existing	Distance (km)	Detance (bm)	Detares (bm)	Dobnora flored	Detector form	The state of	Total (km)	Proposed
0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.3 2.4% 0.9 0.0 <td>an County</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>10.6</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>10.8</td> <td>20.5%</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>F</td> <td>127 4</td> <td>78.2</td> <td>diam animor</td> <td>C S INC.</td> <td>214.0</td> <td>40 400</td>	an County	0.0	0.0	10.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.8	20.5%	0.0	F	127 4	78.2	diam animor	C S INC.	214.0	40 400
0.0 0.0 <td>Vest Egin</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>00</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>13</td> <td>2.4%.</td> <td>00</td> <td>00</td> <td>00</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>000</td> <td>700</td> <td>7.00</td> <td>2 2 2</td>	Vest Egin	1.3	0.0	00	0.0	0.0	0.0	13	2.4%.	00	00	00	0.0	000	700	7.00	2 2 2
0.0 0.0 <td>Jutton / Dunwich</td> <td>9.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>00</td> <td>000</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>15%</td> <td>00</td> <td>000</td> <td>37</td> <td>0</td> <td>000</td> <td>000</td> <td>70</td> <td>2 20</td>	Jutton / Dunwich	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	00	000	0.8	15%	00	000	37	0	000	000	70	2 20
08 0.0	Southweid	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	%000	0.0	0.0	00	25.1	00	000	25.1	A 0.00
0.0 0.0 <td>if. Thomas</td> <td>18.8</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>19.6</td> <td>37.9%</td> <td>6.6</td> <td>13.0</td> <td>9.9</td> <td>11.7</td> <td>80</td> <td>101</td> <td>503</td> <td>11 4%</td>	if. Thomas	18.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.6	37.9%	6.6	13.0	9.9	11.7	80	101	503	11 4%
00 0.0	entral Elgin	0.0	00	0.0	00	0.0	0.0	0.0	%0.0	1.3	0.0	2.0	55.3	0.0	19	60.5	6%
0.0 0.0 <td>Aglahide</td> <td>00</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>00</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>00</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>%0.0</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>2.2</td> <td>30.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>32.4</td> <td>62%</td>	Aglahide	00	0.0	00	0.0	00	0.0	0.0	%0.0	0.5	0.0	2.2	30.0	0.0	0.0	32.4	62%
0.0 0.0 <td>шеиле</td> <td>00</td> <td>00</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0'0</td> <td>%00</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>36.1</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>36.1</td> <td>869</td>	шеиле	00	00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0'0	%00	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.1	0.0	0.0	36.1	869
0.0 0.0 <td>Wilmer</td> <td>2.7</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>2.7</td> <td>6.2%</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>4.6</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>00</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.4%</td>	Wilmer	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	6.2%	1.5	0.0	0.9	4.6	0.0	00	1	1.4%
0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 18.6 31.9% 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	her (Partnerships)	000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%	72.8	0.0	0.0	00	0.0	00	72.8	14.0%
0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	fish Creek Conservation Authority	16.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.5	31.9%	0.0	0.0	0.0	00	00	00	00	0.0%
08 108 0.0 0.0 0.0 517 856 14,8 1423 24 <u>8</u> 8 18.2	tile Creek Conservation Authority	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.6%	0.0	0.0	00	0.0	0.0	0.0	00	0.0%
	TAL KM	40.3	80	10.6	0.0	0.0	00	51.7		96.6	14.8	142.8	248.8	108	18.2	521.8	
																TOTAL EXIST	TANCE (KM
	TAL EXISTING AND PROPOSED N	NETWORK (KM)	N. P. Street, S.	1000		1280-1270-027										A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	

Appendin D - Network Costing Spreadurest Bein-St. Thomas Cycling Master Ren Final September 2014

DAAFT FABLE D.S. - PROPOSED FACULTY TYPE AND COST BY PHASE AND JURISDICTION

1975 1975		Umit Pier	Unit Value	San Courte	Ment Clore		Duriger / Dues	400	Distriction		ed Cere	ы-	eris at Espin	Marie	hide.			- Total			Lotal Departure for	Intal Esempsed Cost
	20-474 Tax				Name of the last	in the	Decare part	ALP CON DAY INCO	8.10 lg2/Con		Last Cost	Designation in the	1 SECTIO	Criticis to Edit	1903 (343		KACHE	18	å	the feature	Flanc I pissa	les Phase
		2294,000	brane EM	2	6	8	6	R		4	\$1,062,62			0	R	8	2	a	8	8		11.002.50
The control of the	Del Domes of Pass solding in on Lines	82%,000	Press 104	ā	0	Я	0	я		7	DF CBS		22.75	0	a	0	0	0	8	N S	9	81272.00
	down had not as	\$130,000	brear CM	0	0	а	0	я		0	-	0		0	-	-			-			
1000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000	Lange of the lange	8573	TO SEE		0		0	3	8	1	DE 48	0					-			The second	2	
	of Producer	+	Speer Co.			2 1	4	E30 HD			120			0		Sar		-	\$1,750		2	0,00,00
14 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	ed Acrete Rouse	Н	MC district			2 2	9	S S S	100		a Line			0	2 2	4		8	R	3	A	E2.716.23
Maria Mari	TO AGAIN WHICH	-	100 000	th (thron	0	я	6	22			\$14.280			0	2			* 2	Bazza	8 8	2	
	d Anas with	Н	MONTH IN	DC 118 C	8	ы	0	a		a	EN CAS			0	-	-	E E		2 2	3 5	9	
Third Thir	R1107AL	-				100	4	DO: 088			\$20.00				E) 160	K	MAN		BA EN	1 Serio Am		1
	(Messager)	111	100-	The state of the s	The second second	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	The second second			144	SESSIMPREME	Aller Control	A Avenue	STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF	Section Date of		STATE OF THE PARTY	Progression and Progression of the Progression of t	A Company	The same of
	11.50	Unit Pros		Ш	NAME OF THE PARTY	Ш	September Delice	#Cost Cocyete	Nathered South Say	Dolance A.		Dayceak	9	Deliance (LAS)	m	1	П	11		AND THE PROPERTY	Total Desance for Phose 1 80M	Food Equipment Cas for Pheto 2
101000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 1010000 10100000 10100000 10100000 10100000 10100000 10100000 10100000 10100000 10100000 10100000 10100000 10100000 10100000 10100000 10100000 101000000 101000000 10100000 10100000000		000 000	Water Chi	a A	-	2	٥	a	8		1 5	6	1		5	-	6	1				
1,1200 1		\$290,000	Trees Cita	a	·	222.500	0	8	8		ED-6,000		-		BA7.500	-	-	N N	Ph/m	3	3	207,700
1975 1985	Country Reding	8130.000	153 100	5	0	В	. 0	H	8	•	a	- 0	3	0	R	0	,	-	8	and the same feet	,	1
Fig. 10 Fig.	Poster	H	Sept Co.	0 P	62	123			000		28.87	0		-	2	3	8	8			2	A PARTY
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	Marte 401	Н	3	040		0.000	c a	100	П		405	2	10.00	0 12	S 7273	80	50,000	88	88	89		
This can be seed to	Sant and	1	1	No.	0		0	8		•	E17,800	0	Ħ	۰	H	9	9	8	a	2		
Fig. 10 Fig.		1		mr.a	8	R	0	R	6	•	\$12.480	-	Pa.PO		9	25	R	6	u	24		
This bit Lear Ware Lear	L/JOSEN.	The state of the s			71	5773 640	9 H	pud	Ш		ent gos	12			PASS	141	RAZON	9 9	78,000	R ENTINE	191	FLOTES.
Strict of the parametricity Control billed Control	Sally Stee	-		ΙГ	West Both	Ш	Derived Desired		1	and and		CANTERCON.	JUL 1		No. of the last							No.
Figure F	1		0	П	Sperigge	П	rithere public Tour	COS Deserve	M Jan ou	Distribució Bio	П	Dames and	Total Cont	Dateworks	П	1	M Con	STATE ONE		100	Physe 1 D lat	to Auge 3
STREET FROM		000'0625	MC TON	20	8	R	-6	a	B	8	я		H		ш	0	a	-8	-	8		
S.13000 New Edd 12 S.02000 New Edd 13 S.02000 New Edd 14 S.02000 New Edd 15 S.02000 New Edd New		2250,000	Vager KDM	6	0	B	0	B	8		\$107,500	٥	2	8	8		B	0	B	3	0	25.00.3
1	red fed Brd no	_	257	5	0	8	0	a	R	0	a		100	-	1		B	-	S	2	-	1
STACON Parametrial 173 STOCK Parametrial 173 STOCK Parametrial 173 STOCK Parametrial 173 STOCK Parametrial Param	Pouter	11	NO CA	Control of	8			513	8	0	Els R	6	2	0	8	8	R					١
1,000 1,00	Dites		NO KIN		0	H		2 9	2 0		EC. 238	6	3 8	2	\$120,450	8	R I	8		3		14.180
\$1.000 1.0	Brick four	H	MI AN		1	BES		2	-		\$1.196	17	\$17.34	2	11 80	5-78	2 90	0	H H	2 3	0	\$360.73c
\$1550 Park MA 2 \$1734 0 15 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	No. of Lot	+	NA LON		0	a		R	R	0	B	0	2		H	0		3	B	8	-	17,00
		+	No.		0	M		ш	R	-	R.136	0	a	8	91				8	9	-	RM
104 Carrey Head Standard Carrey (and Standard Carrey) (and Standar	3 FOTAL		H		r.	14.00		9	90	25	EMAN	14	\$17,344		127.43	-	£1 845	2.0		110	-	
THE DESTRUCTION TO A DE			Н	# Charte	West Store		Date (Dans		Direction .	4 4	10000	Oeen	Dan		-	- Bankam		1				
[A1] [A1] [A1]			2	focal Filemated Carl		_		faulto	Exemple	3Daner	3	-	$\overline{}$	four Detance fo		4—	+	13	13	Ford Estmeted	FOR ALL PASES	TOTAL ESTIMATED COST FOR ALL